



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/644,641

08/19/2003

Kent A. Hellebust

83531-230

5484

22504

7590

10/30/2008

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP/Seattle  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-3045

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, NAM V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2612

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/30/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/644,641	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HELLEBUST ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nam V. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nam V. Nguyen. (3) Philip Hunt (Reg. No. 58,044).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) Michael Donahue.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 24,27,37 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Su (US# 5,815,800) and Segur (US# 6,212,550).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposes to amend the claims such that adding a recall the classification rule being at least for prioritizing the messages from the wireless infrastructure and adding a limitation that organizing the message classification in the database memory. Examiner will further consider and search upon official entry of the amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/N. V. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 2612	/Brian A Zimmerman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612
---------------------------------------	---